### String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14)

Parosh Aziz Abdulla<sup>1</sup> Mohamed Faouzi Atig<sup>1</sup> Yu-Fang Chen<sup>2</sup> Lukáš Holík<sup>3</sup> Ahmed Rezine<sup>4</sup> Philipp Rümmer<sup>1</sup> Jari Stenman<sup>1</sup>

Department of Information Technology, Uppsala University, Sweden

Institute of Information Science, Academia Sinica, Taiwan

Faculty of Information Technology, Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic

Department of Computer and Information Science, Linköping University, Sweden

iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ ● ● ● ● ●

# Table of Contents



- 2 String Solving Procedure
- 3 Verification
- Implementation & Conclusions

# Table of Contents



- 2 String Solving Procedure
- 3 Verification
- Implementation & Conclusions

-

```
// Pre = (true)

String s= '';

// P_1 = (s \in \epsilon)

while (*) {

    // P_2 = (s = u \cdot v \land u \in a^* \land v \in b^* \land |u| = |v|)

    s 'a' + s + 'b';

}

// P_3 = P_2

assert (!s.contains('ba') && (s.length() % 2) == 0);

// Post = P_3
```

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- 3





イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- 3



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- 3

# Table of Contents



- 2 String Solving Procedure
- 3 Verification
- Implementation & Conclusions

## Procedure Overview

#### Problem

Given: Set of constraints containing:

- Disequalities  $XY \neq aZb$
- **2** Equalities XY = aZb
- 3 Memberships  $aXYb \in (abb)^*$
- Length constraints |X| = |Y| + |Z|

**Task:** Report Sat, together with a satisfying assignment for variables (X, Y, Z), or Unsat.

( )

## Procedure Overview

#### Problem

Given: Set of constraints containing:

- **Disequalities**  $XY \neq aZb$
- 2 Equalities XY = aZb
- **3** Memberships  $aXYb \in (abb)^*$
- Length constraints |X| = |Y| + |Z|

**Task:** Report Sat, together with a satisfying assignment for variables (X, Y, Z), or Unsat.

#### Example

• Assume 
$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$$

 $X, Y \in (ab)^* \land X \neq Y$ 

Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 8 / 28

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Example



( )

#### Example

• Assume  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  $X, Y \in (ab)^* \land X \neq Y$   $X, Y \in (ab)^* \land X, Y \in (ab)^* \land$   $X = UaV \land Y = UbV' \qquad X = UbV \land Y = UaV'$ 

( )

#### Example

• Assume  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  $X, Y \in (ab)^* \land X \neq Y$   $X, Y \in (ab)^* \land X, Y \in (ab)^* \land X, Y \in (ab)^* \land$   $X = UaV \land Y = UbV' \qquad X = UbV \land Y = UaV' \qquad |X| \neq |Y|$ 

∃ → ( ∃ →

# Procedure Overview

#### Problem

Given: Set of constraints containing:

- Disequalities  $XY \neq aZb$
- 2 Equalities XY = aZb
- 3 Memberships  $aXYb \in (abb)^*$
- Length constraints |X| = |Y| + |Z|

**Task:** Report Sat, together with a satisfying assignment for variables (X, Y, Z), or Unsat.

( )

#### Example

 $Y \in (ab)^* \land XY = bZb$ 

Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 10 / 28

▲撮▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶

#### Example

$$Y \in (ab)^* \land XY = bZb$$
$$Y \in (ab)^* \land X = \epsilon \land Y = bZb$$

Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 10 / 28

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## Example

$$Y \in (ab)^* \land XY = bZb$$
$$Y \in (ab)^* \land X = \epsilon \land Y = bZb$$
$$bZb \in (ab)^*$$

Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 10 / 28

◆□ → ◆圖 → ◆ 国 → ◆ 国 →



- ( E

# Example $Y \in (ab)^* \land XY = bZb$ $Y \in (ab)^* \land X = \epsilon \land Y = bZb$ $Y \in (ab)^* \land X = bZ_1 \land Y = Z_2b \land Z = Z_1Z_2$ $\downarrow$ $bZb \in (ab)^*$ $Z_2b \in (ab)^*$

# Procedure Overview

#### Problem

Given: Set of constraints containing:

- Disequalities  $XY \neq aZb$
- 2 Equalities  $XY \neq aZb$
- **Memberships**  $aXYb \in (abb)^*$
- Length constraints |X| = |Y| + |Z|

**Task:** Report Sat, together with a satisfying assignment for variables (X, Y, Z), or Unsat.

(B)

#### **General Memberships**

- Reduce to **simple** memberships  $(X \in R)$ .
- Olve simple memberships.

#### **General Memberships**

- Reduce to simple memberships  $(X \in R)$ .
- Olve simple memberships.

 $XbY \in a^*(ba)^*bb^*a^*(a|b)^*$ 



Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 14 / 28

 $XbY \in a^*(ba)^*bb^*a^*(a|b)^*$ 



Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 15 / 28

#### **General Memberships**

• Reduce to **simple** memberships  $(X \in R)$ .

**2** Solve simple memberships.

#### Example

$$|X| \leq 10 \land X \in (aaa)^* \land X \in (aaaa)^*$$

( )

#### **General Memberships**

• Reduce to **simple** memberships  $(X \in R)$ .

**2** Solve simple memberships.

#### Example

$$|X| \leq 10 \land X \in (aaa)^* \land X \in (aaaa)^*$$
  
 $|X| \leq 10 \land X \in (aaa)^* \cap (aaaa)^*$ 

Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 16 / 28

∃ → ( ∃ →

#### **General Memberships**

• Reduce to **simple** memberships  $(X \in R)$ .

**2** Solve simple memberships.

#### Example

$$|X| \leq 10 \land X \in (aaa)^* \land X \in (aaaa)^*$$
  
 $|X| \leq 10 \land X \in (aaa)^* \cap (aaaa)^*$   
 $|X| \leq 10 \land |X| = 12n$ 

3 🕨 🖌 3

# Procedure Overview

#### Problem

Given: formula containing:

- Disequalities  $XY \neq aZb$
- Equalities XY = aZb
- Memberships aXYb ∈ (abb)\*
- Length constraints |X| = |Y| + |Z|

**Task:** find satisfying assignment for variables (X, Y, Z).

(B)

# Procedure Overview

#### Problem

Given: formula containing:

- Disequalities  $XY \neq aZb$
- Equalities XY = aZb
- Memberships aXYb ∈ (abb)\*
- Length constraints |X| = |Y| + |Z|

**Task:** find satisfying assignment for variables (X, Y, Z).

#### Length Constraints

Solve using some existing decision procedure.

# Soundness and Completeness

#### Soundness

All inference rules are sound.

#### Completeness

In general, splitting of equalities might not terminate.

- Graph-based acyclicity condition to detect this case.
- Procedure terminates on acyclic formulae.
- All constraints we've encountered in practice have been acyclic.

# Table of Contents



2 String Solving Procedure





Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 19 / 28

-

# Verification with Horn Clauses

- Horn clauses as an intermediate representation: Clauses represent Programs + Verification methodology
  - Floyd-Hoare proofs
  - Design/verification by contract
  - Owicki-Gries
  - Rely-Guarantee
- Horn clauses are constructed such that:

Clauses are solvable iff Program is correct

- Separation of concerns:
  - Representation of problem with Horn clauses
  - Of-the-shelf solver for Horn clauses
- Elegant way to generalise existing algorithms to inter-procedural or concurrent analysis

(e.g., predicate abstraction, abstract interpretation)

[Grebenshchikov, Lopes, Popeea, Rybalchenko, PLDI'12]

# Verification with Horn Clauses

#### Program

```
String s= '';
while(*){
    s= 'a' + s + 'b';
}
assert(!s.contains('ba') && (s.length() % 2) == 0);
```

#### Horn Clauses

$$egin{aligned} &P_0(s) \leftarrow true \ &P_0(a \cdot s \cdot b) \leftarrow P_0(s) \ &P_1(s) \leftarrow P_0(s) \ &false \leftarrow P_1(s) \wedge s \in \Sigma^* \cdot ba \cdot \Sigma^* \ &\exists n. |s| = 2n \leftarrow P_1(s) \end{aligned}$$

# Verification with Horn Clauses

#### CEGAR Loop

- Fix set of predicates for each relation symbol
- Build abstract reachability graph
- If false is reachable, extract counterexample
- Oheck counterexample:
  - Genuine: Return counterexample
  - Spurious: Generate new predicates
# Verification with Horn Clauses

## CEGAR Loop

- Fix set of predicates for each relation symbol
- Build abstract reachability graph
- If false is reachable, extract counterexample
- Oheck counterexample:
  - Genuine: Return counterexample
  - Spurious: Generate new predicates

## Predicate Synthesis

- Interpolants are good predicates
- 2-layered interpolation approach:
  - Try to synthesize length interpolant
  - Try to synthesize regular interpolant  $s_1|s_n|\cdots|s_n\in\mathcal{R}$

## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} & \mathcal{R} \text{ of size} \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ \text{ if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else} \\ & \text{ return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{ end if} \\ \text{ end while} \\ \text{ return Inseparable} \end{array}
```

## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} & \mathcal{R} \text{ of size} \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ & \text{if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ & \text{else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ & \text{else} \\ & \text{return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{end if} \\ & \text{end while} \\ & \text{return Inseparable} \end{array}
```

## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} & \mathcal{R} \text{ of size} \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ \text{ if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else} \\ & \text{ return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{ end if} \\ \text{ end while} \\ \text{ return Inseparable} \end{array}
```



## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} & \mathcal{R} \text{ of size } \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ \text{ if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else} \\ & \text{ return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{ end if} \\ \text{ end while} \\ \text{ return Inseparable} \end{array}
```



## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} & \mathcal{R} \text{ of size} \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ \text{ if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else} \\ & \text{ return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{ end if} \\ \text{ end while} \\ \text{ return Inseparable} \end{array}
```



## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} \quad \mathcal{R} \text{ of size } \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ & \text{if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ & \text{else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ & \text{else} \\ & \text{return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{end if} \\ & \text{end while} \\ & \text{return Inseparable} \end{array}
```



## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} & \mathcal{R} \text{ of size} \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ \text{ if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ \text{ else} \\ & \text{ return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{ end if} \\ \text{ end while} \\ \text{ return Inseparable} \end{array}
```



## Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} Aw \leftarrow \emptyset; & Bw \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{while there is RE} & \mathcal{R} \text{ of size} \leq L \text{ such that } Aw \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } Bw \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \text{ do} \\ & \text{if } A[\overline{s}] \wedge \neg (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Aw \leftarrow Aw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ & \text{else if } B[\overline{s}] \wedge (s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ sat with assignment } \eta \text{ then} \\ & Bw \leftarrow Bw \cup \{\eta(s_1)|\cdots|\eta(s_n)\} \\ & \text{else} \\ & \text{return } s_1|s_2|\cdots|s_n \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \text{end if} \\ & \text{end while} \\ & \text{return Inseparable} \end{array}
```



# Table of Contents



- 2 String Solving Procedure
- 3 Verification



-

## Implementation

### Norn

- Implemented in Scala
- Uses Princess for Linear Arithmetic

## **Model Checker**

- Based on Horn clauses and interpolation.
- Uses Norn as a backend.

Program	# of Calls to solver	Time
a <sup>n</sup> b <sup>n</sup>	168	8s
StringReplace	59	4.5s
ChunkSplit	58	5.5s
Levenshtein	87	5.3s
HammingDistance	1493	27.1s

.⊒ . ►

## Conclusions

### Verification of String Programs

- Procedure for checking satisfiability of string formulae
- New: unbounded variables together with language constraints
- Complete for expressive fragment of the logic
- Horn clause-based Model Checker

# Future Work

#### Theory

- Expressiveness
- Interpolation

## Tool

- Performance
- DPLL(T)
- Applications

★ 3 > < 3 >

< 行

3

## Thank you!

Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Mohamed Faouzi Atig, String Constraints for Verification (CAV'14) iPRA 2014, July 18, 2014 28 / 28

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

3