Interactive Theorem Provers

from the perspective of Isabelle/Isar

Makarius Wenzel Univ. Paris-Sud, LRI

July 2014



1 Introduction

Notable ITP systems

LISP based:

ACL2 http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/moore/acl2
PVS http://pvs.csl.sri.com

ML based:

HOL family: HOL4, HOL-Light, ProofPower, ... Coq http://coq.inria.fr Isabelle/Isar http://isabelle.in.tum.de

Other:

Mizar http://www.mizar.org
Agda http://wiki.portal.chalmers.se/agda

See also: The Seventeen Provers of the World, F. Wiedijk (ed.), LNAI 3600, 2006.

The LCF family

LCF 🏭 Edinburgh LCF (1979) Cambridge LCF (1985) HOL (1984/1988) 🎟 📟 Coq 🔲 Coc (1985/1988) Coq 8.4pl4 (May 2014) Isabelle 🔠 💻 🔛 Isabelle (1986/1989) Isabelle/Isar (1999) Isabelle2013-2 (December 2013)

TTY interaction



Interaction model:

manual copy-paste from editor window into prover process

Proof General (and clones)



Interaction model: automated copy-paste and undo in the editor, prover process in background

Isabelle today: document-oriented interaction



1 Introduction

Example: functional specifications with proofs

datatype 'a seq = Empty | Seq 'a ('a seq)

fun concat :: 'a seq \Rightarrow 'a seq \Rightarrow 'a seq where

 $concat \ Empty \ ys = ys$ $| \ concat \ (Seq \ x \ xs) \ ys = Seq \ x \ (concat \ xs \ ys)$

theorem concat_empty: concat xs Empty = xs
by (induct xs) simp_all

theorem conc_assoc: concat (concat xs ys) zs = concat xs (concat ys zs)
by (induct xs) simp_all

1 Introduction

Example: unstructured proof "scripts"

```
theorem concat_empty': concat xs Empty = xs
apply (induct xs)
apply simp
apply simp
done
```

```
theorem conc_assoc': concat (concat xs ys) zs = concat xs (concat ys zs)
apply (induct xs)
apply simp
apply simp
done
```

Example: abstract specifications and calculations

```
class group = times + one + inverse +
assumes group\_assoc: (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)
and group\_left\_one: 1 * x = x
and group\_left\_inverse: inverse x * x = 1
```

```
theorem (in group) group_right_inverse: x * inverse x = 1
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem (in group) group_right_one: x * 1 = x
proof -
have x * 1 = x * (inverse x * x) by (simp only: group_left_inverse)
also have ... = x * inverse x * x by (simp only: group_assoc)
also have ... = 1 * x by (simp only: group_right_inverse)
also have ... = x by (simp only: group_left_one)
finally show ?thesis .
ged
```

1 Introduction

2 Proof Systems

Isabelle/Pure: formal context

Logical judgement:

$$\Theta,\,\Gamma\vdash\varphi$$

• background theory Θ

(polymorphic types, constants, axioms; global data)

• proof context Γ (fixed variables, assumptions; local data)

Operations on theories:

- merge and extend: $\Theta_3 = \Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 + \tau + c :: \tau + c \equiv t$
- symbolic sub-theory relation: $\Theta_1 \subseteq \Theta_2$
- transfer of results: if $\Theta_1 \subseteq \Theta_2$ and Θ_1 , $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ then Θ_2 , $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$

Isabelle/Pure: primitive inferences

Syntax (types and terms):

fun :: (type, type)type $imp :: prop \Rightarrow prop \Rightarrow prop$ implication $A \Longrightarrow B$

function space $a \Rightarrow b$ $all :: ('a \Rightarrow prop) \Rightarrow prop$ universal quantification $\bigwedge x. B x$

Derivations (theorems): implicit theory Θ

$$\frac{A \in \Theta}{\vdash A} (axiom) \qquad \overline{A \vdash A} (assume)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B[x] \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash A x. \ B[x]} (\wedge -intro) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A x. \ B[x]}{\Gamma \vdash B[a]} (\wedge -elim)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma - A \vdash A \Longrightarrow B} (\Longrightarrow -intro) \qquad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \Longrightarrow B \ \Gamma_2 \vdash A}{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \vdash B} (\Longrightarrow -elim)$$

2 Proof Systems

Isabelle/Isar: block-structured reasoning

Universal context: fix and assume

 $\begin{cases} \{ & fix \ x & assume \ A \\ have \ B \ x \ \langle proof \rangle & have \ B \ \langle proof \rangle \\ \} & \\ have \ \bigwedge x. \ B \ x \ by \ fact & have \ A \Longrightarrow B \ by \ fact \end{cases}$

Existential context: obtain

```
{
  obtain a where B a (proof)
  have C (proof)
}
have C by fact
```

3 Proof Search

Isabelle/HOL proof methods

- *rule*: generic Natural Deduction (with HO unification)
- *cases*: elimination, syntactic representation of datatypes, inversion of inductive sets and predicates
- *induct* and *coinduct*: induction and coinduction of types, sets, predicates
- *simp*: equational reasoning by the Simplifier (HO rewriting), with possibilities for add-on tools
- *fast* and *blast*: classical reasoning (tableau)
- *auto* and *force*: combined simplification and classical reasoning
- *arith*, *presburger*: specific theories
- *smt*: Z3 with proof reconstruction

Sledgehammer

Idea:

- heavy external ATPs / SMTs for proof search
- light internal ATP (Metis) for proof reconstruction



Automated disprovers — counter examples

- quickcheck based on random functional evaluation
- **nitpick** based on relational model finder



4 Proof Formats

Proof formats: open-ended, no standards

De-facto formats:

LCF and HOL: ML source as input and output

Coq: tactic scripts, e.g. Ltac, SSReflect

Isabelle/Isar:

- structured proof documents (Isar language)
- unstructured apply scripts (tactic emulation)

General LCF approach:

use ML to implement your own application-specific proof formats

5 Proof Production

The "LCF approach"

Correctness by construction: (R. Milner, 1979)

- 1. abstract datatype *thm* in ML (the "meta language"), constructors are the rules of the logic (the "object language")
- 2. implementation of arbitrary proof tools in ML, with explicit *thm* construction at run-time

Notes:

- need to distinguish proof search from actual thm inferences
- *thm* values are abstract: proofs are not stored in memory, but: optional proof trace or proof term
- goal-directed LCF-approach fits well to shared-memory multiprocessing (multicore hardware)

Proof Consumption

Proof consumption in Isabelle/HOL

HOL-Light importer:

replay of primitive inferences from other LCF-kernel (huge trace)

SMT proof method:

connection to Z3, with proof reconstruction by standard proof tools of Isabelle/HOL: simp, blast, auto etc.

Sledgehammer:

- heavy external ATPs / SMTs for proof search
- light internal ATP (Metis) for proof reconstruction

7 Proof Applications

Big formalization projects

Flyspeck https://code.google.com/p/flyspeck (T. Hales, HOL-Light): formal proof of Kepler's Conjecture

L4.verified http://ertos.nicta.com.au/research/l4.verified (G. Klein, Isabelle/HOL): formally correct operating system kernel

Feit-Thompson Odd Order Theorem http://www.msr-inria. fr/news/feit-thomson-proved-in-coq(G.Gonthier,Coq/SSReflect)

CompCert verified compiler http://compcert.inria.fr/doc (X. Leroy, Coq): optimizing C-compiler for various assembly languages, written and proven in the functional language of Coq

Libraries of formalized mathematics

Archive of Formal Proofs (AFP)

http://afp.sf.net Isabelle/HOL

Mathematical Components

http://www.msr-inria.fr/projects/mathematical-components-2
Coq/SSReflect

Mizar Mathematical Library

http://www.mizar.org/library
Mizar

Conclusions

What is ITP? What is Isabelle/Isar?



Hanabusa Itchō: "Blind monks examining an elephant"

Helpful hints

New users:

- Spend time to develop a sense for more than one accidental candidate, before making a commitment.
- Spend substantial time to become proficient with the system of your choice.

Old users:

• Learn how other proof assistants work, and what are their specific strengths and weaknesses.

Isabelle users:

• Submit your finished applications to AFP http://afp.sf.net

Happy proving!